

From: [Cassandra Baldassano](#)
To: [Chace Pedersen](#)
Subject: ACU-23-0003 Atlas
Date: Thursday, September 7, 2023 2:36:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Kittitas County network. Do not click links, open attachments, fulfill requests, or follow guidance unless you recognize the sender and have verified the content is safe.

I oppose this proposal. My elderly parents David and Frances Ceraolo reside at 131 Blazing Sky Lane which is the residence most affected by this proposed cell tower and the eventual placement and emissions of RW from attached antennas. My parents health is fragile.

Reading through all the material I notice that Atlas states in several instances that “The site is chosen.....” and “We strive to locate parcels that create the least amount of community disturbance”

First of all I question the word “chosen” which indicates that there are choices to be made. I know that Atlas sought sites that would accommodate their purpose. However most landowners in this vicinity would turn down their proposal. So when they find a willing landowner whose site fills their requirements there is no need to locate any further parcels that create the least amount of community disturbance. This is the case here. There is no regard for community disturbance.

I read my father’s comments (David Ceraolo) who lists the most frequent concerns as being health concerns, rural character and property values.

On the health issue: Atlas states in their letter which serves as a narrative “The proposed site is chosen.....and distance from residential homes”

Why is distance from residential homes a concern?

Under the Sepa section # 7 Environmental Health Atlas responds with “see article V1 paragraph 2 of the US constitution and FCC resolution 19-126”

Under Sepa – Supplemental #1 How would the proposal be likely to increase emissions.....to air?” Atlas answer to this question is “will not increase any items above.” This is a patently false statement.

It appears that this proposal rises many health concerns hence the following documents:

Comments Kittitas County Public Health

Correspondence Health & FCC Information Atlas Legal Statement

Notice of Application Memo

None of these documents give me reassurance that there are no health concerns regarding this proposal. In fact they do the opposite. Please take into account my mother's (Frances Ceraolo) comment regarding the American Cancer Society finding that a distance of 300 to 400 meters from residences would likely be sufficient distance to negate the effects of emissions.

Respectively submitted.

Cassandra Baldassano